



Towards enhancing the carbon stocks in forests: Unravelling the wood production potentials under sustainability conditions for Austria

Unravel

Presentation at the Klimatag

12. April 2023

Karlheinz Erb





Today

- Introduction: Aims and objectives
- Starting point: stakeholder interaction
- First results
 - Towards a dynamic view on wood production in Austria
 - Global origin of forest biomass processed in Austria
 - Imports and carbon sinks in originating countries
- Outlook: next steps



Aims of the Project

The starting motivation of UNRAVEL is to turn around the conventional direction of research ("what are the carbon implications of using wood") and to choose an alternative point of departure: "How much wood is available under sustainability conditions and what are best uses for climate protection"

Work packages:

- 1. Biophysical accounting of wood production and use
- 2. Modelling forest C sequestration potentials under climate change
- 3. Scenarios of C sequestration targets for Austria under different rationales of emission allocation
- 4. Exploring interlinkages between wood provision, C sequestration and other ecosystem services
- 5. Knowledge co-production and knowledge re-integration
- 6. Project Management



Starting point: Stakeholder interaction

Particular knowledge needs of interview partners which were named by two or more interview partners include:

- Quantify C dynamics of forest extensification (reduced harvest) and conservation (put areas out of use): what yield levels (under which management strategies) are compatible with which biomass stocks (climate-change mitigation effects)
- Investigate co-benefits between carbon sequestration and biodiversity conservation: Which management strategies are favourable for both? What is the C uptake of old forest stands?
- International "responsibility" of Austria for forest change abroad: locate and quantify the impact of the Austrian forest sector on forest areas abroad

First empirical results: wood production an use





Holzströme in Österreich

Strimitzer et al., 2022

• Available 2010-2020

- A systematic account of the Wood "inputs", internal processing, and "outputs"
- Discerns wood categories
- Does not systematically account for recycling streams
- Is not explicit about the fate of final products (domestic consumption, addition to stocks, exports)
- Difficult to handle: time series



Extenstion of the "Holzflussdiagramm" (Strimitzer et al., 2010-2020)





Institute for Social Feelogy | Kerlbeing

Wood flows in Austria

Extenstion of the "Holzflussdiagramm" (Strimitzer et al., 2010-2020)

Dynamic Sankey Implementation



Temporal dynamics Energy production



International trade

30





Imports energy production pulp and paper industry particleboard industry

Countries of Origin of Wood imported to Austria

Institute of Social Ecology

- International trade statistics refer to countries of last origin (added value), and not ecosystem origins
- Problem are the reexports
- Can be "cleaned", approximated by a matrix-solution based on the geographically explicit information of the Direct Material Input (DMI=DE+Imp)





Linking imports to the forest-C-sink in countries of origin



- Simple comparison: the wood C-flux to Austria compared to the the remaining sink
- Exports to Austria are significant when compared to the domestic C-sink in some countries
- The 10th country is Brazil: massive emissions, "Austrian share" on harvest is low, but still: 60ktC/yr

Expand and apply a novel method:

"Trilateral" trade flows. Example: livestock products

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Science of the Total Environment

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scitotenv

Embodied HANPP of feed and animal products: Tracing pressure on ecosystems along trilateral livestock supply chains 1986–2013

Thomas Kastner^d, Alberte Bondeau^e, Helmut Haberl^a, Karlheinz Erb^a

ecosystems along trilateral livestock supply chains 1986–2013 Nicolas Roux^{a,*}, Lisa Kaufmann^a, Manan Bhan^a, Julia Le Noe^{a,b}, Sarah Matej^a, Perrine Laroche^c,



- Does not only discern countries of origin, but is explicit about the geography of production, processing and consumption
- Allows to move beyond simple "Roundwood equivalent" calculations, but to draw a more complete picture of the Austrian wood production chain system
- Important for the comparison of territory-based, consumption-based and income-based accounts of wood-related C-fluxes
- Will be applied to Austria (= globally) in the second year





Opportunity Carbon Cost of Wood Harvest





Erb et al., 2022, 10.1111/gcbb.12921



Next steps

- The focus of the second year will be on WP2 (model advancement) and WP3 (scenario analysis)
 - Termination of the biophysical database (including detailed trade information)
 - Expansion of the CRAFT model
 - model-based assessment of C-sequestration potentials as well as C-opportunity costs
 - deadwood and soil compartments
 - discerning energy and material wood uses.
 - Appraisal of Austria's negative emissions obligations for 2020-2100 under different CC scenarios
 - Start Integration of ecosystem services, C-fluxes/dynamics and biodiversity
 - establishment of an impact database.
- → towards assessing sustainable yield levels and best wood uses, and co-benefit/trade-off analysis wood use/C-dynamics/biodiversity

Products so far: Publications







Changes in perspective needed to forge 'no-regret' forest-based climate change mitigation strategies

Karl-Heinz Erb¹[©] | Helmut Haberl¹[©] | Julia Le Noë^{1,2}[©] | Ulrike Tappeiner^{3,4}[©] Erich Tasser³[©] | Simone Gingrich¹[©]









Commentary Biomass—Critical limits to a vital resource

Karl-Heinz Erb^{1,*} and Simone Gingrich¹ ¹Institute of Social Ecology, University of Natura Resources and Life Sciences Vienna, Schottenfeldgasse 29, 1070 Vienna *Correspondence: karlheinz.erb@boku.ac.at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2021.12.014





Energie aus Holzbiomasse: begrenzte Klimaschutz-Potenziale

Autor_innen: Karl-Heinz Erb⁹, Georg Gratzer^a, Helmut Haberl^a, Ulrike Tappeiner^{b,c}, Erich Tasser^c, Simone Gingrich^b a Universität für Bodenkultur Wien I b Universität Innsbruck I c Eurac Research begutachtet von: Thomas Dirnböck (Umweltbundesamt), Hannes Schwaiger (Joanneum Research), Neil Bird (Joanneum Research)







Revised Timeline

- Official Start: Nov 21
- Official End: Oct 2024

		Year1				Year2				Year3			
#	WP	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
1	Wood produciton and use												
1.1	Data compilation Austria												
1.2	Wood imports												
1.3	Countries of origin												
2	C sequestration potentials												
2.1	Climate scenarios input												
2.2	Advance CRAFT												
2.3	Derive disturbance scenarios												
2.4	Paramterization of CRAFTd												
2.5	Craft runs												
2.6	Uncertainties												
3	Scenario development												
3.1	Negative emission obligations												
3.2	Run CRAFTd counterfactuals												
3.3	Sensitivity tests												
4	Interlinkages C-ES												
4.1	Scoping and definitions												
4.2	Quantification of impacts												
4.3	Evaluation scheme												
4.4	Compare results/attribuiton schemes												
4.5	Option spaces, synergies, trade-offs												
5	Stakeholder involvement												
5.1	Project Website												
5.2	Interviews / focus groups												
5.3	Intermediate meeting												
5.4	Final stakeholder meeting												
5.5	Dissmenation of final products												
6	Project Management												
6.1	Consortium Agreement												
6.2	Project meetings												
6.3	Internal communication												
6.4	Institute for Social Ecology Karlheinz Erb Reporting												



The End

Thanks to the team!



Simone Gingrich, Sarah Matej, Julia Le Noë, Nicolas Roux, Rupert Seidl, Ulrike Tappeiner, Erich Tasser

Institute for Social Ecology | Karlheinz Erb